### 2017-2018 ABRIDGED EVIDENCE VIOLATIONS AND PROCEDURES

#### LEVEL I (INFORMAL) EVIDENCE ALLEGATION

1-The **DEBATER** makes an informal allegation for:

incorrect or no oral citations (7.1B)

incorrect or no visual citations (7.1C)

incorrect or no oral text demarcation (7.1G1)

incorrect or no visual text demarcation (7.1G2)

use of private communication (7.1H)

- 2-The **JUDGE** examines the evidence at their convenience (7.3A) and uses it at their discretion in the RFD (7.3A, 7.4A).
- 3-The **ROUND** continues to the end without disclosure (7.3A).
- 4-The **BALLOT** notes how the allegation—whether or not accepted—weighs into the RFD (7.3A).
- 5-The **COACH** cannot appeal the decision (7.3A).

#### LEVEL II (INFORMAL) EVIDENCE ALLEGATION

1-The **DEBATER** makes an informal allegation for: **inserting ellipses** (7.1E)

failing to identify a straw argument (7.2D)

2-The **ROUND** pauses while the judge examines the evidence.

It ends immediately if a violation occurred.

It continues to the end if no violation occurred.

3-The  $\boldsymbol{JUDGE}$  immediately discloses whether or not a violation occurred.

A violation ends with a loss and zero points to the accused debater(s).

No violation ends with no penalties to either team (7.4B).

4-The **BALLOT** notes the decision on the alleged violation.

The decision only weighs into the RFD if a violation occurred.

5-The **COACH** cannot appeal the decision.

#### 2017-2018 ABRIDGED EVIDENCE VIOLATIONS AND PROCEDURES

## LEVEL III (FORMAL) EVIDENCE ALLEGATION

- 1-The **DEBATER** makes a formal allegation (7.3C1, 7.3C2) for: **clipping evidence** (7.2C)
- 2-The **ROUND** ends immediately and the judge examines the evidence (7.3C3).
- 3-The **JUDGE** discloses whether or not a violation occurred. A violation ends with a loss and zero points to the accused debater(s). No violation ends with a loss and zero points to the accusing debater(s) (7.3C3a, 7.4B).
- 4-The **BALLOT** notes the decision on the alleged violation and which team received the loss with zero points (7.3C3a, 7.3C3b, 7.4B, 7.4C).
- 5-The **COACH** cannot appeal the decision (7.3D)

# LEVEL IV (FORMAL) EVIDENCE ALLEGATION

1-The **DEBATER** makes a formal allegation (7.3C1, 7.3C2) for: **distorting evidence** (7.2A)

**nonexistent evidence** (7.2B) including

a-unable to provide original source when requested (7.2B1)

b-original source provided does not include evidence cited (7.2B2) c-evidence paraphrased lacks original source to verify accuracy

(7.2B3)

d-declines to provide evidence in timely fashion (7.2B4)

- 2-The **ROUND** ends immediately and the judge examines the evidence (7.3C3).
- 3-The **JUDGE** discloses whether or not a violation occurred.
  A violation ends with a loss and zero points to the accused debater(s). No violation ends with a loss and zero points to the accusing debater(s) (7.3C3a, 7.4B).
- 4-The **BALLOT** notes the decision on the alleged violation and which team received the loss with zero points (7.3C3a, 7.3C3b, 7.4B, 7.4C).
- 5-The **COACH** can appeal the decision if the judge misapplied, misinterpreted or ignored a rule (7.3D, 7.3E, 7.4C).